

REMARKS

Claims 1 – 17 were in the application. Claims 18 - 19 were added in the Amendment dated August 17, 2004. Claim 20 is being added. The language of the new claim 20 is based on the language of claim 8 as originally filed.

Requirement for an Election of Species and the Election of Species

The Examiner has required election of one of the listed in the Office Action species of the claimed invention.

The Examiner is of the opinion that the application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention.

Applicant elects with traverse Species VIII, disclosed in Fig. 8 with claims 1, 3, 6 and 8, 18, 19 and 20 being generic in response to the requirement for election of species.

Applicant Traverse the Requirement for an Election of Species

The only claims which are not readable on elected Species VIII are claims 2, 4, 5, 9-17 which are readable on non-elected Species. However, the Examiner is required to consider most of the features recited in claims 2, 4, 5, 9-17 when considering claims 1, 3, 6 and 8, 18, 19 and 20 which are readable on elected Species VIII and at least non-elected other Species.

This Preliminary Amendment is submitted in order to clarify the language of the specification and the claims.

Entry of the above recited corrections is respectfully requested.

This application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Favorable action thereon is therefore respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
Mr. Wacławek Wiesław

Wacławek Wiesław
.....

Wacławek Wiesław